

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA
EASTERN DISTRICT**

MICHELLE BRAUN, ON BEHALF OF
HERSELF AND ALL OTHERS
SIMILARLY SITUATED,

Respondent

v.

WAL-MART STORES, INC., A
DELAWARE CORPORATION, AND
SAM'S CLUB, AN OPERATING
SEGMENT OF WAL-MART STORES,
INC.,

Petitioners

DOLORES HUMMEL, ON BEHALF OF
HERSELF AND ALL OTHERS
SIMILARLY SITUATED

Respondent

v.

WAL-MART STORES, INC., A
DELAWARE CORPORATION, AND
SAM'S CLUB, AN OPERATING
SEGMENT OF WAL-MART STORES,
INC.,

Petitioners

No. 551 EAL 2011

Petition for Allowance of Appeal from the
Order of the Superior Court

No. 552 EAL 2011

Petition for Allowance of Appeal from the
Order of the Superior Court

ORDER

PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 2nd day of JULY, 2012, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is **GRANTED, LIMITED TO** the issue set forth below. Allocatur is **DENIED** as to all remaining issues. The issue is:

Whether, in a purported class action tried to verdict, it violates Pennsylvania law (including the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure) to subject Wal-Mart to a “Trial by Formula” that relieves Plaintiffs of their burden to produce class-wide “common” evidence on key elements of their claims.

Further, Petitioners’ Applications for Leave to File Post-Allocatur Communications and a Reply are **DENIED** as moot.